MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No
Requestor's Name and Address AHC for Citizens Medical Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-03-8811-01
10002 Battleview Parkway	TWCC No.:
Manassas, Virginia 20109	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address Gray Insurance Company	Date of Injury:
1717 E Loop N Suite 333	Employer's Name: Edde Drilling Company, Inc.
Portway Plaza Office Bldg	Insurance Carrier's No.:
Houston, Texas 77029-4060 Box 19	2000001167

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	- Ci i Couc(s) oi Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
08/20/02	08/23/02	Surgical Admission	\$30,533.02	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor did not submit a position statement.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier's response was untimely.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The requestor did not submit an operative report indicating if the services were unusually extensive. Accordingly, the stoploss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 8-day stay in the amount of \$19,376.60.

The requestor billed \$23,887.00 for the implantables.

The requestor submitted invoices indicating the cost for the implantables were \$14,566.00.

Therefore, reimbursement based on per diem is $\$3,354.00(3 \times \$1,118.00)$ and reimbursement for the implantables at cost plus ten percent is \$16,022.60 ($\$14,566.00 \times 110\%$). Per diem for the 3-day stay is \$3,354.00 + \$16,022.60 for the implantables = \$19,376.60 total reimbursement recommended. The carrier reimbursed the provider \$19,376.60 for the 3-day stay and the implantables, leaving no additional reimbursement recommended.

Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.				
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION				
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to reimbursement. Ordered by:				
	Michael Bucklin	08/02/05		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A	HEARING			
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787 Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.				
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION				
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.				
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:		